

SCECLB293 Individual

Senedd Cymru | Welsh Parliament

Y Pwyllgor Biliau Diwygio | Reform Bill Committee

Bil Senedd Cymru (Rhestrau Ymgeiswyr Etholiadol) | Senedd Cymru (Electoral Candidate Lists) Bill

Ymateb gan Unigolyn | Evidence from Individual

What are your views on the general principles of the Bill and the need for legislation to deliver the Welsh Government's stated policy objective (to make the Senedd a more effective legislature by ensuring it is broadly representative of the gender make-up of the population)?

Extreme and unnecessary. Very worrying particularly due to the dangerous support of gender self ID in Welsh Labour party

What are your views on the system of enforcement and potential sanctions for non-compliance proposed in the Bill?

The right people should be in post whatever their sex is. Biological sex is relevant. Gender ID is not relevant. Gender ID is just a social construct. If it was 5% biological women and 95% biological men then this might be necessary. But the sanctions are not necessary. It cause more harm than good.

Are there any potential barriers to the implementation of the Bill's provisions? If so, what are they, and are they adequately taken into account in the Bill and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum and Regulatory Impact Assessment?

It is not right

Are any unintended consequences likely to arise from the Bill?

See previous answers

What are your views on the Welsh Government's assessment of the financial and other impacts of the Bill?

What are your views on the balance between the information contained on the face of the Bill and what is left to subordinate legislation? Are the powers for Welsh Ministers to make subordinate legislation appropriate?

Some Welsh ministers judgement seems off

Do you have any views on matters relating to the legislative competence of the Senedd including compatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights?

Humans should have the right to be judged on their ability not their gender identification. If a popular male candidate does not get in due to a less popular and less suitable man self identifying as a woman, that is to no-ones benefit other than the man identifying as a woman.

Do you have any views on matters related to the quality of the legislation, or to the constitutional or other implications of the Bill?

Are there any other issues that you would like to raise about the Bill and the accompanying Explanatory Memorandum or any related matters?

Anything else?

Very dangerous bill considering the support for gender self ID. The support for gender self ID is in conflict with the idea of women being treated with equality, and this bill would lead to more inequality towards biological women, instead of less